

OBSERVATION/SUBMISSION TO PLANNING APPLICATION

Case Reference: 323761

Cora Joyce
Lissavalley,
Barnaderg
Tuam
Galway

To: An Coimisiún Pleanála
64 Marlborough Street
Dublin 1
D01 V902

Date: 07 November 2025

Re: Observation/Submission to proposed wind energy development at Cooloo Wind Farm

Location: Cloondahamper, Cloonascragh, Elmhill, Cooloo, Lecarrow, Dangan Eighter, Lissavally, Slievegorm
- Co. Galway

Applicant: Neoen Renewables Ireland Limited

Dear Sir/Madam,

I have lived in lissavalley for all my life and so have my forefathers for many generations. I attended the local national school and played sports with the local GAA club. I also have a health care business in the village where I rely on the community to support it. I live with my partner Colm and our daughter Evie. We are currently building a new house and intend to live the rest of our lives in Killrerin.

As my new house is on an elevated site the visual impact will be massive and they will be the first thing I see when I look out my windows. Killrerin is a peaceful rural area and these enormous Turbines towering 180 metres in the sky will have a huge negative visual impact on the area. They will also have a negative impact on the health and wellbeing of the community.

I strongly object to the proposed Cooloo Wind Farm and request that An Coimisiún Pleanála refuse planning permission

I am objecting on the following issues.

Community Consultation and Engagement

The basis that the consultation was undertaken by Neoen and MKO for the Cooloo Wind Farm has failed to meet the basic expectations of transparent and inclusive community engagement. It falls short of national guidelines and the intent of An Bord Pleanála's Strategic Infrastructure Development process.

Statutory notices were published in the Irish Examiner instead of the Tuam Herald, which most local households rely on for news.

Despite claims of consultation with local groups, key organisations such as Killereerin Community Council and Killereerin GAA, were not engaged in any meaningful way.

No public event was held in Moylough, even though seven of nine turbines are proposed there, excluding many directly affected residents.

The developer's report cites "door-to-door engagement" with only 55 homes and ten written responses is evidence of a process that reached few and failed to inform many.

The developer's continued reliance on online materials to provide information disadvantaged rural residents with poor internet access and a large number of older residents without a technical knowledge.

These shortcomings show that the consultation was administrative rather than genuine, and did not provide the community with a fair chance to participate. An Bord Pleanála should recognise these significant deficiencies when assessing the project's compliance with public engagement standards.

Planning Framework and Guidelines

Relying on the Wind Energy Development Guidelines 2006 is no longer appropriate. Since their publication, wind energy technology has advanced significantly, and scientific understanding has deepened. The 2006 Guidelines were based on turbines under 100 metres and 1–2MW in capacity, whereas the proposed turbines will be 180 metres tall and produce around 6MW, causing greater impacts.

The outdated nature of these guidelines has been acknowledged in the Dáil multiple times. In 2013, Deputy Micheál Martin noted that the guidelines did not reflect modern technology, and in 2025, Tánaiste Simon Harris confirmed that the Government prioritizes the creation of new guidelines.

Therefore, it is inappropriate for An Coimisiún Pleanála to base decisions solely on the 2006 Guidelines. Decisions must reflect current technology and environmental standards. All new Wind Farm developments should be paused until updated guidelines are established so that affected communities are not unfairly treated by these outdated guidelines.

Barnaderg Gortbeg Group Water Scheme

I use the water from Barnaderg Gortbeg Group Water Scheme as my main source of drinking water for my household. The water is of excellent quality and I am very concerned that pollution of various types such as silt, sediment and other contaminants will enter the water source, causing me and my family harm. With the location of two Turbines within the Source Protection Area (SPA) I believe the Cooloo Windfarm should not be granted permission whatsoever, especially in such a highly karsified and hydrologically sensitive area.

Right to Peaceful Enjoyment of Property

Article 1, Protocol 1 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) safeguards every individual's right to the peaceful enjoyment of their possessions. It provides that: "Every natural or legal person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions. No one shall be deprived of his possessions except in the public interest and subject to the conditions provided for by law and by the general principles of international law."

Approval of this proposed wind farm would constitute a clear interference with this right. If the development proceeds, I will be deprived of the peaceful enjoyment of my home and property. The construction and operation phases would bring significant and continuous disturbance — including persistent noise pollution, low-frequency noise (LFN), shadow flicker, and heavy vehicle movements. The tranquillity and visual amenity of my surroundings, which form an intrinsic part of my home environment and well-being, would be irreversibly diminished.

During construction, the constant flow of heavy machinery and associated noise would cause ongoing disruption and stress, further impacting daily life. Once operational, the presence of industrial-scale turbines dominating the landscape would permanently alter the character of the area, stripping residents of the quiet enjoyment of their homes and lands. This level of intrusion cannot be considered proportionate or justified in the public interest, and therefore conflicts with the protections afforded under Article 1, Protocol 1 of the ECHR.

Property Devaluation

The 2023 CERIS (Centre for Economic Research on Inclusivity and Sustainability) paper – ‘Wind Turbines and House Prices Along the West of Ireland: A Hedonic Pricing Approach’ – surveyed the prices of houses located near windfarms in seven counties.

The paper states that: ‘The analysis finds a robust and significant reduction in property value of -14.7% within 1km of a turbine’ and that ‘Back-of-the-envelope calculations suggest that the total loss in value for houses within 1km of a turbine in the case counties is approximately €6.8 million.’

Galway County Council is an agent for the state of the Republic of Ireland and as such is responsible to uphold Article 40 of the Irish Constitution which states – ‘the state shall in particular by its laws protect as best it may from unjust attack and in the case of injustice done vindicate the life, person, good name, and property rights of every citizen.’

I am aware that the Barnaderg Cooloo Wind Farm Action Collective have spoken to a local auctioneer, who said that he had trouble selling a house in County Mayo because it was close to several wind turbines. The auctioneer was able to site a specific instance whereby a married couple looked at the house and decided not to buy it. The auctioneer said that the presence of the wind turbines was a crucial factor in the couple’s decision not to buy the house. The owners of this house ended up selling for less money than the couple had been initially willing to pay for the house.

Noise

Planning permission for the proposed Cooloo Wind Farm should be refused on the basis that it poses a clear and foreseeable risk of substantial interference with the normal use and enjoyment of nearby homes. In *Byrne & Moorhead v ABO Energy* [2025] IEHC 330, the Irish High Court found that wind turbine noise—specifically low-frequency and amplitude-modulated sound—constituted a private nuisance under common law, as it significantly disrupted residents’ ordinary domestic life. The Court held that such noise amounted to an unreasonable and continuous intrusion, preventing the quiet occupation of the home and resulting in the permanent shutdown of three turbines in County Wexford.

The Cooloo proposal relies on outdated ETSU-based noise criteria that fail to account for the same low-frequency and modulated noise effects found to cause substantial nuisance in the Wexford case. Given the proposed turbines’ greater height and rotor size, the likelihood of these harmful acoustic effects occurring at Cooloo is even higher. Approving this development under obsolete standards would disregard the High Court’s findings and expose local residents to predictable and legally recognized interference with their right to the peaceful enjoyment of their homes. Planning permission should therefore be refused in full on these grounds.

Barnaderg National School

Barnaderg National School is located approximately 2.49 km from Turbine No 1.

The turbines being this close to the school will no doubt have an impact on the education of the children in Barnaderg NS. The school will suffer from noise pollution and infrasound. In addition to this, during the construction phase and while laying cabling the roads to and from the school will be impacted by road closures, traffic, additional noise and dust. Again, all of this will impact on the children of the school.

I am also concerned that if t planning permission is granted less people will be moving to or building in the area of Barnaderg. This will lead to fewer children in the community and may lead to the school losing teachers, and ultimately the school closure.

Extra construction traffic

I strongly object to this proposal due to the major disruption and safety risks it poses to our local community during the construction phase. The Traffic Management Plan fails to provide clear information on delivery schedules, routes or mitigation for abnormal turbine loads. Our rural roads are narrow, shared by farm machinery, school buses and local traffic, and cannot safely accommodate such heavy haulage without damage or obstruction. The application states that there will be approximately 14 extra return trips made by trucks carrying materials. This is vastly underestimated for a project of this size. There are no binding guarantees on road repairs, traffic management or timing of deliveries to avoid peak community use. Residents, farms and schools in Barnaderg, Cooloo and surrounding areas will face delays, dust, noise and restricted access. This plan does not adequately safeguard community safety, local livelihoods or the integrity of rural infrastructure. Permission should not proceed without full, enforceable traffic controls and local protection measures.

Conclusion

For all of the reasons set out in this submission, it is clear that this windfarm would cause more harm than benefit to our area. This windfarm would not bring progress, it would bring lasting damage. This community values its peace, safety, and way of life. The proposed development threatens the peace, safety, and character of the place we call home. I urge An Coimisiún Pleanáia to stand with the local people and reject this development to protect our landscape, our homes, and the generations to come.

Yours Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized initial 'C' followed by a long, wavy horizontal line.

Name: Cora Joyce

Date: 07 November 2025